

Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

6/13


Executive Secretariat

NID/SP

STAT



Please see BeIn
note in attached memo



STAT

These notes to be
attached to ER copy
NIC-02816-86
L-108-1r

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		11 June 1960
TO: <i>Red</i> DCI		
ROOM NO. 7-D-60	BUILDING HQs	
REMARKS: <i>13 JUN 1960</i> <i>We don't agree.</i> <i>have enough of I can</i> <i>move to help let</i> <i>say! me know</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">DCI EXEC REG</div>		
FROM: NIU/S.		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING <i>2E40 Hdg</i>	SION

FORM NO.
1 FEB 56 241REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STAT

Page Denied

NIC 02816/86

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

National Intelligence Council

SP - 101/86
10 June 1986
Copy 1MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence
Deputy Director of Central IntelligenceFROM: Lawrence K. Gershwin
National Intelligence Officer for Strategic ProgramsDouglas J. MacEachin
Director of Soviet Analysis[redacted]
Director of Scientific and Weapons Research

25X1

[redacted]
Chief, Arms Control Intelligence Staff

25X1

SUBJECT: Another UNCLASSIFIED SDI Intelligence Paper

1. This memo is intended to alert you to an upcoming problem and to tell you how we intend to proceed. [redacted]

25X1

2. Steve Steiner's public diplomacy group, which operates out of the NSC, is contemplating another State/DOD UNCLASSIFIED paper on Soviet Strategic Defense. You will recall that we helped in the preparation of the first such paper which was issued late last year. The prime mover behind the notion that we ought to do another one is Richard Perle's outfit at OSD. [redacted]

25X1

3. We think this is a bad idea for a lot of reasons. First and foremost, is that we don't have much of anything to say beyond what was said for the last publication; and we certainly don't have anything more that we can add at the UNCLASSIFIED level. We are concerned that this may be an effort to institutionalize the periodic publishing of such a paper--much like Soviet Military Power. If we allow this to happen, we can expect a never ending assault by DOD to extract more and more UNCLASSIFIED information in an area where it is especially important that we protect sources and methods. We have had many bad experiences with Soviet Military Power, and we have no great desire to compound that with a yearly publication on Soviet strategic defense efforts. [redacted]

DCI
EXFC
25X1**WARNING NOTICE**
INTELLIGENCE SOURCES
OR METHODS INVOLVED

SECRET [redacted]

25X1

SECRET [REDACTED]

25X1

SP - 101/86

SUBJECT: Another UNCLASSIFIED Intelligence Paper


4. Unless we hear otherwise, we intend, through [REDACTED] of SOVA, who regularly attends meetings of the public diplomacy group to make clear that we think that this is a bad idea. It is possible that a strong response at that level may kill the effort--it is by no means clear, at this point, that anyone besides DOD supports such an effort--but if it doesn't, we will be back in touch and this may be an issue you might want to take up at one of your Thursday and Friday meetings with SecDef and Secretary Schultz. [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

5. We will keep you informed as to further developments. [REDACTED]



Lawrence K. Gershwint

[REDACTED]

25X1

Douglas J. MacEachin

[REDACTED]

25X1

SECRET

2

25X1

SECRET

25X1

SP - 101/86

SUBJECT: Another UNCLASSIFIED Intelligence Paper

Distribution

Cy 1 - DCI
2 - DDCI
3 - D/SOVA
4 - D/OSWR
5 - C/ACIS
6 - NIO/SP

NIO/SP:L Gershwin:jd/ (11Jun86)

25X1

SECRET

3

25X1